

MEIRSS
LEBANON WEEKLY BRIEF
19 - 25 October 2026

ABSTRACT

The reporting week of 19-25 October 2026 featured three major developments: U.S.-hosted Lebanon-Israel military talks ended without a reported breakthrough. Israeli military operations continued across southern Lebanon, and the Constitutional Council struck down a key amendment to Lebanon's banking restructuring legislation. Together, the events illustrated the security and institutional barriers facing the Lebanese state. The banking ruling was a new decision on 20 October, distinct from the presidential appeal described in an earlier brief.

The week therefore presented two connected tests of state capacity. Negotiations and military activity left territorial security unsettled, while the banking decision underscored the need for constitutionally sound legislation and credible financial regulation. This brief separates reported events from assessment and does not treat unresolved diplomatic or legislative processes as completed outcomes.

PART I | TAMPA TALKS: A STALEMATE OVER VERIFICATION AND WITHDRAWAL

U.S.-hosted military talks, reciprocal steps and the pilot-zone mechanism, 19-23 October 2026

DEVELOPMENTS

The new negotiating round aimed to translate the June 2026 Lebanon-Israel framework into practical arrangements for the Lebanese Army, monitoring and an Israeli withdrawal. It did not establish an agreed implementation sequence during the reporting week.

- 19 October: Lebanon rejects direct military coordination - Ahead of the talks, Lebanese officials said the army would not coordinate directly on the ground with Israeli forces. Beirut instead sought U.S.-mediated discussions and a third-party verification mechanism for the pilot zones. Officials expected limited movement before Israel's 27 October election.
- 20 October: talks convene in Tampa - Military representatives met at U.S. Central Command headquarters in Florida. Lebanon's delegation, headed by Army Operations Director Brigadier General Georges Rizkallah, pressed for an end to Israeli attacks and a mechanism to verify army operations in the designated zones.
- 20-21 October: monitoring becomes the main obstacle - The discussions focused on whether the Lebanese Army's operations could be verified without direct Israeli-Lebanese military coordination. Lebanese officials linked credible army deployment to reciprocal Israeli de-escalation, while Israeli positions continued to emphasize disarmament and security guarantees.
- 22 October: no breakthrough reported - Lebanese military sources cited by The New Arab said the two-day meetings had not produced progress and no additional negotiating session had then been scheduled.

ASSESSMENT

The talks kept a communication channel open but did not close the principal implementation gap. Lebanon considers third-party verification and reciprocal withdrawal essential to legitimise and secure the Army's deployment. Israeli insistence on disarmament-related guarantees, combined with continued operations in the south, complicates that sequencing. Without a common method of certifying compliance, even limited pilot-zone arrangements remain vulnerable to competing claims of non-performance.

A diplomatic failure cannot be inferred simply from the absence of an immediate agreement. The more defensible conclusion is a short-term stalemate: the U.S. mediation channel survived, but a practical mechanism and an agreed calendar were still missing as of 25 October. The next meaningful indicator will be whether the parties agree on independent monitoring and reciprocal steps after the Israeli election.

The article also noted that separate concluding discussions with the American side remained possible. The absence of a breakthrough should therefore not be described as a formal termination of talks.

- 22-23 October: external political constraints - Reporting from MTV Lebanon and LBCI pointed to the limited leverage available before Israel's election and the unresolved dispute over enlarging pilot areas. At week's end, neither an enforceable withdrawal timetable nor a mutually accepted compliance-verification formula had been publicly confirmed.

PART II | THE SOUTH: MILITARY PRESSURE AND CIVILIAN EXPOSURE

Strikes, artillery fire, demolitions and the sovereign debate, 19-25 October 2026

DEVELOPMENTS

Israeli military activity continued throughout the reporting period in multiple southern districts. The following incidents are based on dated field reports. They do not constitute an independently audited tally of all attacks or casualties.

- 19 October: airstrikes and destruction - Strikes were reported in Wadi Hujeir, Kfar Tibnit, Haddatha and the outskirts of Braasheet. Local reporting also described demolitions in Qantara and Adsheet al-Qusseir, continuing a pattern of strikes alongside ground activity.
- 22 October: the U.N. sovereignty framework - Reporting on the U.N. secretary-general's latest assessment of Security Council Resolution 1559 highlighted two parallel imperatives: withdrawal of Israeli forces from Lebanese territory and disarmament of armed groups outside state authority. It also underscored the need to avoid internal destabilisation while implementing these commitments.

ASSESSMENT

The continuing attacks matter beyond the immediate physical damage. Demolitions and recurrent fire impede civilian return, agricultural livelihoods and the predictable security environment required for the Lebanese Army to expand its effective presence. They also reinforce Beirut's contention in negotiations that state-security obligations cannot be carried out in isolation from conditions on the ground.

The U.N. discussion reinforced the interdependence of two politically contentious objectives: ending Israel's military presence and ensuring exclusive state control of weapons. Neither resolves the other automatically. The reporting supports a conclusion of persistent insecurity and implementation friction, but not a precise weekly casualty figure or a verified projection of when the military operations will stop.

- 23 October: continued pressure on villages - Air attacks and demolitions were reported in the occupied buffer area; locations mentioned included Nabatieh Fawqa and Wadi Hujeir. Reports of possible casualties from one major explosion remained unconfirmed and are not treated here as established fatalities.
- 24 October: shelling and demolitions in Khiam - Artillery fire reportedly affected Mansouri, Majdal Zoun, Wadi Zebqine, Haddatha and areas near Braasheet. The same day's reporting described the demolition of houses in Khiam, as well as further machine-gun fire and earthmoving activity.
- 25 October: intensified attacks across several districts - Reports described a drone strike and airstrikes in and around Kfar Tibnit and Wadi Hujeir. Artillery and gunfire around Haddatha, Aitaroun, Majdal Zoun and the Nabatieh district, and tank movements near Aita al-Jabal. Olive pickers were present near one reported incident, in which no injuries were recorded by the correspondent.

PART III | BANKING REFORM: KEY AMENDMENT ANNULLED

Constitutional Council ruling, legal safeguards and reform implications, 20-23 October 2026

DEVELOPMENTS

On 20 October, Lebanon's Constitutional Council unanimously upheld President Joseph Aoun's appeal against Article 3 of Law No. 71 of 10 September 2026, which amended the bank recovery and restructuring framework. The Council ruled on how the provision had been passed, rather than striking down the entire law. Its decision also addressed two constitutional safeguards affecting bank officials and employees.

- 20 October: Article 3 annulled - The Council found that the amended provision had not received the parliamentary vote required for adoption. It annulled the article, following Aoun's 22 September challenge. The decision did not cancel the bank-restructuring framework as a whole.
- 20 October: earlier wording remains operative - The court's decision preserved the corresponding provision of Law No. 23/2025, including its reference to Lebanon's Code of Money and Credit. Disagreement remains over how responsibilities should be divided between Banque du Liban and the Higher Banking Commission.
- 20 October: travel-ban language removed - The Council struck out a phrase in Article 19 that would have permitted an administrative travel ban affecting certain shareholders, directors and senior bank personnel in liquidation proceedings. It stressed the need for an independent judicial decision and procedural safeguards.

- 20 October: blanket litigation protection rejected - It also annulled language in Article 27 shielding bank employees who complied with Higher Banking Commission decisions from legal action. The court identified the potential impact on the right of affected individuals to seek judicial remedy.
- 21-22 October: reform debate resumes - Reporting highlighted the unresolved dispute over institutional powers and the concern that renewed legislative uncertainty could complicate engagement with the International Monetary Fund. The ruling did not itself terminate negotiations or establish a new restructuring mechanism.
- 23 October: next legislative steps remain open - Options discussed publicly included a fresh government bill, a parliamentary initiative or awaiting further IMF consultations. No new Article 3 text or agreed reform timetable had been confirmed by the 25 October reporting cut-off.

ASSESSMENT

The decision is important because bank restructuring remains central to Lebanon's financial recovery, depositor confidence and negotiations with the IMF. The Council identified a concrete procedural problem in Parliament's treatment of Article 3 and struck language affecting constitutional rights. This provides a stronger legal baseline, but does not settle the policy conflict over the powers of the central bank and the Higher Banking Commission.

The immediate test is whether the government and Parliament can produce a properly adopted, workable amendment without stalling the wider recovery agenda. Future legislation would need to clarify institutional responsibility, preserve judicial safeguards and remain credible to external financial partners. As of 25 October, the ruling had changed the legal position but had not delivered a complete banking resolution or an IMF agreement.

REFERENCES

- Andraos, N. (2026, October 20). Will Lebanon-Israel talks in Florida contain tensions before Israel's elections?LBCI.
- Annahar (2026, October 20). Constitutional Council annuls amendments to Lebanon's banking reform law [Arabic]. Annahar.
- El Jammal, R. (2026, October 22). Lebanon-Israel talks in US end without breakthrough as attacks continue. The New Arab.
- Homsy, N., & AlTaher, N. (2026, October 19). Lebanon to reject direct military co-ordination with Israel at Florida talks. The National.
- L'Orient Today staff. (2026, October 19). Israeli strikes follow series of explosions, infiltration in Kfar Shuba. L'Orient Today.
- L'Orient Today staff. (2026, October 23). Israeli attacks and destruction intensify in occupied "buffer zone". L'Orient Today.
- L'Orient Today staff. (2026, October 24). Israeli artillery shells occupied areas in southern Lebanon; new demolitions in Khiam. L'Orient Today.
- L'Orient Today staff. (2026, October 25). Israeli strikes, demolitions intensify across southern Lebanon. L'Orient Today.
- MTV Lebanon (2026, October 20). Constitutional Council annuls Article 3 of law amending banking reform legislation [Arabic]. MTV Lebanon.
- MTV Lebanon. (2026, October 22). Tampa talks make no breakthrough. MTV Lebanon.
- Younes, M. (2026, October 21). Banking reform: Constitutional Council ruling leaves power struggle unresolved. L'Orient Today.
- Younes, M. (2026, October 23). Banking resolution: Who will take charge after the ruling?. L'Orient Today.
- Zehil, S. (2026, October 22). Resolution 1559: Guterres's final warning to Israel and Hezbollah. L'Orient Today.
- Prepared by the Middle East Institute for Research and Strategic Studies*